

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20553 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-905

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Holton or Paul Walker, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-1324 or (202) 482-  
0413, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On July 13, 2006, the Department of  
Commerce ("Department") initiated the  
antidumping duty investigation of  
certain polyester staple fiber from the  
People's Republic of China. See  
*Initiation of Antidumping Duty  
Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple  
Fiber from the People's Republic of  
China*, 71 FR 41201 (July 20, 2006)  
("Initiation Notice"). The Initiation  
Notice stated that the Department would  
make its preliminary determination for  
this antidumping duty investigation no  
later than 140 days after the date of  
issuance of the initiation (*i.e.*, November  
30, 2006).

We have determined that this  
investigation is extraordinarily  
complicated within the meaning of  
section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act  
of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On  
November 16, 2006, the Department  
notified parties to the investigation that  
it intended to postpone the preliminary  
determination for reasons provided in  
this notice. See Memorandum to the  
file, from Michael Holton,  
*Postponement of Preliminary  
Determination of Antidumping Duty  
Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple*

*Fiber from the People's Republic of  
China*, dated November 16, 2006.

Specifically, we find that the  
Department requires additional time to  
gather more information from all the  
mandatory respondents regarding  
market-economy inputs, affiliations,  
establishing the proper date of sale and  
the allocation methodology used to  
report certain factors of production. In  
addition, the Department also requires  
additional time to evaluate the separate-  
rate applications.

Therefore, it is the Department's  
decision to postpone the current  
preliminary determination so that all of  
the issues currently under investigation  
at this time can be addressed in the  
most complete manner possible. For the  
reasons identified above, we are  
postponing the preliminary  
determination under section  
733(c)(1)(B) of the Act by fifteen days to  
December 15, 2006. The deadline for the  
final determination will continue to be  
75 days after the date of the preliminary  
determination.

This notice is issued and published  
pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act  
and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20566 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-823-810

#### Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate from Ukraine; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2006, the  
Department of Commerce  
("Department") initiated a sunset  
review of the antidumping duty order  
on solid agricultural grade ammonium  
nitrate from Ukraine pursuant to section  
751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as  
amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a  
notice of intent to participate and a  
complete substantive response filed on  
behalf of the domestic interested parties  
and an inadequate response from  
respondent interested parties, the  
Department conducted an expedited  
sunset review of the antidumping duty  
order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of  
the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B).

As a result of this sunset review, the  
Department finds that revocation of the  
order would likely lead to continuation  
or recurrence of dumping at the levels  
indicated in the "Final Results of  
Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Audrey Twyman, Damian Felton, or  
Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD  
Operations, Office 1, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution  
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-3534, (202) 482-  
0133, and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On August 1, 2006, the Department  
initiated a sunset review of the  
antidumping duty order on solid  
agricultural grade ammonium nitrate  
("ammonium nitrate") from Ukraine  
pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.  
See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset)  
Reviews*, 71 FR 43443 (August 1, 2006)  
("Notice of Initiation"). The Department  
received a notice of intent to participate  
from the following domestic parties: the  
Committee for Fair Ammonium Nitrate  
Trade ("COFANT") and its individual  
producer members, El Dorado Chemical  
Company and Terra Industries, Inc.  
(also known as "domestic interested  
parties") within the deadline specified  
in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(I). COFANT  
claims interested party status under  
section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic  
manufacturers of ammonium nitrate for  
its members.

The Department received a complete  
substantive response collectively from  
the domestic interested parties within  
the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR  
351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department also  
received a substantive response from  
respondent interested party, Open Joint  
Stock Company "Azot," within the  
deadline specified in 19 CFR  
351.218(d)(3)(i). On September 7, 2006,  
the domestic interested parties  
submitted a rebuttal to Azot's  
substantive response. On September 20,  
2006, the Department determined that  
the respondent interested party did not  
account for more than 50 percent of  
exports by volume of the subject  
merchandise, because it reported that it  
had no exports during the 2001-2005  
sunset review period. Therefore, the  
Department concluded that the  
respondent interested party did not  
submit an adequate response to the  
Department's *Notice of Initiation*. See  
Memorandum to Susan H. Kuhbach  
entitled, "Adequacy Determination in